

# SAWYER COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING SURVEY

*(Your community is your town/village/city)*

Sawyer County is embarking on the development of a comprehensive plan that will provide the county with guidance and information on such topics as transportation, land use, housing, natural resources, economic development and community facilities. In addition to Sawyer County, six other towns are in the process of developing or amending town level comprehensive plans. Five towns and LCO have already developed and adopted local comprehensive plans.

The Sawyer County Comprehensive Plan Development Committee (SCCPDC) has been charged with developing the overall comprehensive plan. SCCPDC members were solicited from local units of government from throughout the county. Committee members represent the public citizens and property owners of Sawyer County and elected officials from their respective jurisdiction.

**Goals for Survey:**

- To generate community interest in planning for the future of Sawyer County.
- To get the community involved in the planning process.
- To provide a way for those not directly participating in the planning sessions to give input to the process.

**Data Received will be used to:**

- Draw participants into the planning process
- Create discussion of issues and need within the county.

During development of the county comprehensive plan, information will be posted to the Sawyer County home page at [www.sawyercountygov.org](http://www.sawyercountygov.org) under the "Information" section. On behalf of the SCCPDC members, please complete the questionnaire. Your input is greatly appreciated and your participation at committee meetings or other planning related venues is encouraged and welcome! Please return the survey by **Friday, August 8, 2008**.

If additional adult members of your household would like to complete a survey, a copy may be obtained by contacting Sawyer County UW-Extension at 715-634-4839 or visiting the office at 10610 Main Street, Hayward WI 54843.

## Quality of Life

**1. Please rate the following features regarding the importance to you as contributing to quality of life in Sawyer County.**

Opinion	Very Important	Important	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No
Farming	19.6%	49.8%	16.4%	5.0%	9.2%
Public Forests	65.4%	31.4%	1.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Lakes and Rivers	81.6%	17.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%
Public Schools	36.6%	40.5%	11.1%	5.1%	6.7%
Public outdoor recreational facilities (parks, campgrounds, beaches, ball fields, etc.)	34.6%	49.6%	10.4%	2.8%	2.6%
Public outdoor recreational activities (hunting, fishing, golfing, etc.)	57.9%	35.1%	4.3%	1.5%	1.2%
Public outdoor motorized recreation	21.4%	29.2%	24.7%	21.0%	3.6%
Public outdoor non-motorized recreation	33.7%	46.9%	12.7%	3.7%	3.0%
Safe environment/low crime	74.3%	23.1%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%
Affordable Housing	28.9%	42.0%	16.9%	6.0%	6.1%
Proximity to jobs	21.2%	39.1%	22.2%	8.4%	9.0%
Natural environment	71.0%	25.9%	1.5%	0.5%	1.1%

2. The quality of life in Sawyer County is (circle one)

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
17.6%	59.1%	17.4%	4.9%	1.0%

### Natural Resources

1. How would you rate the overall condition of the following resources in Sawyer County?

	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
a. Lakes	30.0%	53.7%	13.0%	2.3%	1.0%
b. Rivers and Streams	29.2%	54.3%	13.2%	2.5%	0.8%
c. Groundwater	21.5%	51.4%	21.6%	3.8%	1.6%
d. Wetlands	18.5%	52.2%	24.7%	3.9%	0.6%
e. Farmlands	7.8%	38.2%	38.3%	13.0%	2.7%
f. Wildlife Habitat	30.8%	52.6%	13.5%	2.5%	0.6%
g. Forests/Woodlands	34.2%	51.6%	11.2%	2.3%	0.6%
h. Night Sky	50.8%	38.6%	7.8%	1.9%	0.9%
i. Air Quality	51.0%	42.1%	5.5%	1.2%	0.2%

2. Are the following Sawyer County resources being protected?

	Very Adequate	Adequate	Inadequate	Very Inadequate	No Opinion
Lakes	17.5%	58.8%	18.1%	2.2%	3.3%
Rivers and Streams	17.2%	62.4%	14.0%	1.6%	4.8%
Groundwater	13.9%	61.8%	14.1%	1.3%	8.8%
Wetlands	14.7%	61.4%	14.0%	1.6%	8.4%
Farmlands	9.9%	61.6%	11.5%	1.5%	15.5%
Wildlife Habitat	18.8%	64.1%	10.9%	1.6%	4.7%
Forests/Woodlands	19.7%	61.8%	11.9%	2.2%	4.5%
Night Sky	26.0%	57.8%	6.6%	1.8%	7.7%
Air Quality	25.7%	62.4%	5.5%	0.6%	5.8%
Natural Resources from Invasive Species	9.4%	47.4%	28.0%	7.0%	8.2%

### Cultural Resources

1. Please rate the importance of cultural and historic resources in Sawyer County to you. (Circle One)

Very Important	Important	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion
18.8%	55.8%	15.1%	3.2%	7.2%

2. Please check the importance of preserving the following types of cultural resources in Sawyer County.

	Very Important	Important	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion
Local culture & heritage (ethnicity, languages, festivals/events etc.)	25.5%	48.9%	16.3%	3.8%	5.5%
Burial/archeological sites	22.7%	47.5%	19.1%	4.4%	6.3%
Historic buildings	25.4%	53.9%	13.9%	2.1%	4.8%
Building or objects with architectural significance	19.5%	51.8%	19.6%	3.1%	5.9%
Scenic views	48.6%	43.8%	4.0%	0.9%	2.7%
Rustic Roads	37.8%	43.9%	13.2%	1.6%	3.5%
Local Lore	25.5%	50.6%	14.9%	2.6%	6.4%
Historic communities	21.6%	52.2%	16.4%	3.0%	6.8%

### Housing

1. The following types of housing should be expanded in Sawyer County.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
.....					
Single-family housing	23.0%	46.8%	10.5%	3.2%	16.5%
Mobile home parks	2.2%	10.0%	37.8%	36.9%	13.1%
Multi-family housing	5.2%	32.1%	29.3%	17.7%	15.8%
Housing for low-income	12.5%	35.2%	21.5%	16.1%	14.7%
Senior housing	28.6%	50.4%	5.4%	2.8%	12.8%
Starter (first time buyer) homes	18.1%	48.7%	12.1%	5.0%	16.1%

### Transportation

1. Please check the level of importance and level of satisfaction for the following transportation resources in Sawyer County:

	Level of Importance					Level of Satisfaction				
	Very Important	Important	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Un-satisfied	Very Un-satisfied	No Opinion
County and State Highways	53.7%	44.1%	1.0%	0.2%	0.9%	18.1%	71.5%	6.9%	1.3%	2.3%
Town roads	39.9%	57.2%	1.5%	0.3%	1.1%	13.0%	69.4%	12.8%	2.4%	2.4%
Bicycling lanes/trails	21.7%	45.0%	19.1%	5.7%	8.4%	5.4%	40.5%	19.1%	7.1%	27.8%
Public Rural Transit Service (i.e. Namekagon Transit)	16.9%	37.0%	18.9%	6.6%	20.6%	3.8%	32.5%	10.7%	2.8%	50.2%
Private Transit Service (Taxi, Bus, Vans, etc.)	9.8%	37.4%	24.8%	6.2%	21.8%	2.6%	26.5%	13.7%	3.9%	53.2%
Pedestrian/Walking Trails	25.1%	51.6%	12.2%	3.5%	7.6%	5.4%	46.6%	16.5%	5.1%	26.5%
Air Service	12.0%	32.3%	29.2%	8.6%	17.9%	4.5%	28.9%	12.6%	7.3%	46.6%

## Economic Development

**1. Please rate the following types of job opportunities you see desirable to Sawyer County.**

	Highly Desirable	Moderately Desirable	Moderately Undesirable	Highly Undesirable	No Opinion
Education related	43.4%	40.5%	3.6%	1.3%	11.2%
Forestry related	46.8%	39.1%	4.1%	0.6%	9.5%
Professional related	39.5%	42.7%	5.9%	0.9%	11.0%
Light manufacturing/industrial	33.4%	43.1%	9.6%	3.0%	10.8%
Heavy manufacturing/industrial	16.7%	30.0%	26.3%	15.6%	11.4%
Retail related	23.2%	55.8%	9.4%	1.8%	9.8%
Recreation related	44.1%	42.6%	3.9%	0.9%	8.4%
Service related	35.0%	48.7%	6.0%	0.9%	9.4%
Building and construction related	27.3%	52.5%	8.6%	1.6%	9.9%
Technology related	37.3%	41.4%	8.3%	1.5%	11.4%
Health care related	56.9%	30.8%	2.8%	0.7%	8.7%
Agricultural related	23.7%	50.5%	10.8%	1.9%	13.1%
Tourism related	40.2%	41.1%	6.8%	2.7%	9.2%
Recycling related	37.0%	40.9%	9.1%	2.3%	10.7%
Entertainment related	19.5%	48.3%	15.1%	3.3%	13.8%
Any living wage job	41.3%	33.3%	8.4%	3.1%	13.9%

**2. Should Sawyer County focus on creating new economic development and job opportunities; or should it simply retain existing jobs without attempting to attract new job opportunities? (Check One)**

21.9% Focus on creating new economic development and job opportunities

18.4% Retain existing jobs

56.7% Do both of the above

2.9% None of the above

**3. Should Sawyer County consider offering economic incentives to businesses to relocate or open facilities in Sawyer County if it will create more jobs?**

63.3% Yes      26.0% No      10.7% No Opinion

**4. Are the following economically productive areas being protected?**

	Very Adequate	Adequate	Inadequate	Very Inadequate	No Opinion
Forest	16.5%	56.9%	12.4%	2.8%	11.4%
Agricultural	8.1%	53.7%	13.7%	1.4%	23.1%
Industrial	6.4%	52.3%	11.3%	1.3%	28.7%
Commercial	7.4%	58.0%	9.9%	1.0%	23.7%
Lakeshore	17.1%	46.9%	18.9%	6.2%	10.9%
Residential	10.1%	62.5%	8.3%	1.4%	17.8%

## Utilities and Community Facilities

1. Please examine the following elements of life in your community, then check the level of importance and the level of satisfaction you associate with each of these services provided:

	Level of Importance					Level of Satisfaction				
	Very Important	Important	Un-important	Very Un-important	No Opinion	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Un-satisfied	Very Un-satisfied	No Opinion
Medical facilities	70.1%	26.9%	0.6%	0.1%	2.3%	13.2%	55.2%	16.9%	3.8%	10.9%
Law enforcement	65.7%	31.0%	1.2%	0.4%	1.6%	12.4%	64.1%	12.6%	2.9%	8.0%
Fire protection	67.3%	29.8%	0.9%	0.1%	1.2%	14.0%	64.0%	8.9%	1.9%	11.3%
Streets and roads	41.1%	55.8%	1.8%	0.1%	2.3%	10.1%	73.4%	10.8%	1.9%	3.9%
Bridges	38.5%	56.4%	2.1%	0.1%	3.0%	8.7%	74.0%	6.5%	1.0%	9.9%
Libraries	30.1%	47.2%	12.5%	2.5%	7.7%	24.1%	48.4%	4.6%	0.5%	22.4%
Clean drinking water	73.4%	23.9%	0.6%	0.0%	2.1%	21.6%	62.0%	6.9%	1.2%	8.3%
K-12 education	46.2%	33.5%	6.8%	2.7%	10.7%	12.6%	49.0%	5.2%	1.1%	32.1%
Secondary education	33.7%	40.7%	11.2%	2.8%	11.7%	7.5%	44.5%	10.1%	2.0%	35.9%
Town/village/city government services	30.5%	57.5%	7.2%	1.2%	3.5%	7.4%	63.5%	13.2%	3.4%	12.6%
County government services	29.6%	57.5%	7.1%	1.6%	4.3%	6.7%	63.0%	13.0%	3.0%	14.2%
Retail/service businesses	25.6%	63.2%	6.6%	0.9%	3.7%	6.9%	69.6%	13.6%	1.4%	8.6%
Industry	20.0%	48.4%	16.4%	4.4%	10.9%	3.3%	46.4%	16.5%	2.8%	31.1%
Health services	60.2%	34.0%	2.0%	0.3%	3.5%	8.6%	57.1%	15.6%	3.2%	15.5%
Parks	28.9%	55.1%	10.1%	1.1%	4.8%	7.7%	63.7%	9.9%	2.4%	16.3%
Housing	23.5%	52.6%	11.2%	2.2%	10.4%	4.2%	55.2%	11.3%	1.7%	27.6%
Child care	18.0%	36.1%	16.8%	5.6%	23.5%	3.8%	36.0%	6.0%	1.2%	53.0%
Motorized recreation	14.9%	33.2%	24.6%	19.3%	8.0%	7.5%	45.2%	11.3%	6.2%	29.8%
Non-motorized recreation	26.4%	49.8%	13.0%	3.3%	7.5%	9.1%	59.2%	10.2%	2.2%	19.3%
Telecommunications	32.5%	49.7%	8.7%	1.9%	7.1%	4.3%	44.7%	24.2%	10.0%	16.8%
Recycling	42.0%	45.6%	5.7%	2.1%	4.5%	5.9%	53.0%	19.6%	6.5%	15.0%

2. Suppose you were a local official and revenues increased by \$100 this year. How would you distribute this additional \$100 given the following choices? For example, would you distribute \$20 to five categories, \$100 in one, or some other pattern?

Dollar Amount	Reduce Taxes	Increase spending for public services (fire/police)	Increase spending for education	Increase spending for transportation	Increase spending for social services	Increase spending for housing	Increase spending for natural resources	Increase spending for ED
\$5	1.3%	3.4%	3.7%	12.8%	10.0%	11.1%	3.1%	5.1%
\$10	6.5%	19.3%	20.1%	42.2%	38.3%	41.2%	17.4%	24.3%
\$15	1.1%	2.6%	3.3%	2.5%	5.2%	4.4%	3.0%	2.9%
\$20	17.1%	37.3%	38.0%	28.8%	30.4%	28.4%	33.2%	34.3%
\$25	5.7%	15.3%	13.9%	6.8%	8.6%	8.0%	13.1%	12.5%
\$30	3.6%	5.8%	5.9%	1.5%	2.4%	2.3%	6.2%	5.5%
\$35	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%
\$40	5.9%	4.2%	3.8%	1.5%	1.1%	2.9%	5.0%	3.0%
\$45	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$50	17.4%	8.4%	7.5%	2.9%	2.7%	0.2%	12.8%	8.8%
\$55	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$60	3.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.3%	0.5%

Dollar Amount	Reduce Taxes	Increase spending for public services (fire/police)	Increase spending for education	Increase spending for transportation	Increase spending for social services	Increase spending for housing	Increase spending for natural resources	Increase spending for ED
\$65	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$70	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%
\$75	1.6%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
\$80	3.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
\$85	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$90	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
\$95	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100	30.8%	1.8%	1.6%	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	3.0%	2.0%

3. Suppose that local revenues decreased by \$100 this year. If forced to balance the budget, how would you make up for the lost revenue? For example, would you distribute \$20 to five categories, \$100 in one, or some other pattern?

Dollar Amount	Increase Taxes	Decrease spending for public services (fire/police)	Decrease spending for education	Decrease spending for transportation	Decrease spending for social services	Decrease spending for housing	Decrease spending for natural resources	Decrease spending for ED
\$5	3.7%	11.3%	9.2%	3.4%	3.6%	2.1%	5.2%	2.2%
\$10	21.3%	41.4%	29.0%	17.5%	19.1%	17.5%	28.9%	20.0%
\$15	2.0%	2.8%	3.7%	3.1%	2.5%	2.3%	4.6%	2.9%
\$20	23.0%	27.4%	32.6%	41.1%	34.9%	41.7%	32.2%	32.8%
\$25	5.0%	5.7%	8.3%	13.0%	12.4%	14.1%	8.0%	8.0%
\$30	5.0%	2.3%	2.8%	5.4%	4.6%	5.3%	3.5%	3.5%
\$35	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
\$40	4.8%	0.9%	2.5%	5.4%	4.2%	4.4%	4.6%	4.6%
\$45	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$50	12.2%	4.8%	6.8%	8.1%	10.4%	9.3%	7.3%	7.3%
\$55	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$60	2.1%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	1.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
\$65	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$70	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
\$75	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
\$80	1.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
\$85	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$90	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$95	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100	16.7%	2.5%	3.2%	1.1%	5.1%	2.3%	4.4%	4.4%

## Land Use

1. How adequate are county land use policies and regulations?

	Very Adequate	Adequate	Inadequate	Very Inadequate	No Opinion
Land Use Policies	14.8%	48.5%	14.4%	3.1%	19.2%
Land Use Regulations	15.1%	46.1%	15.7%	4.1%	19.0%

2. Fragmentation of forest lands is the splitting of large blocks of land to smaller sized parcels where homes and cabins could be built.

a. Steps should be taken to reduce forest fragmentation. (Circle One)

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
37.1%	34.0%	15.3%	7.6%	6.1%

b. Fragmentation also occurs with farmlands. Steps should be taken to reduce farmland fragmentation. (Circle One)

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
28.5%	35.7%	19.9%	6.7%	9.3%

c. Fragmentation of lands will increase over the next 10 years.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
20.3%	57.2%	8.5%	3.6%	10.5%

3. There has been an increased interest to consider “green” technologies such as solar panels and wind turbines to produce energy on/at residential properties. Please respond to the following questions that best fits your opinion.

a. Residential land owners should be allowed to construct the following:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
Solar Panels	68.1%	28.7%	1.0%	0.6%	1.6%
Wind Turbines	51.5%	32.7%	8.9%	3.4%	3.5%
Methane Digester	28.5%	27.7%	15.5%	3.9%	24.3%
Outdoor Wood Burner	32.0%	38.5%	16.6%	6.5%	6.5%

b. Wind turbines should be allowed to be constructed within view from lakes and shorelands (Circle One)?

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
14.8%	33.7%	23.9%	21.1%	6.4%

4. Please indicate your opinion on the appropriate balance between private property rights versus community property rights by placing an ‘X’ along the number scale below?

100% Private Property Rights.....50% Private.....100% Community Property Right

100..[26.1%]..80..[26.7%]..60..[11.4%]..40..[7.4%]..20.. [20.2%]..0..[3.3%]..20..[1.8%]..40.. [1.5%]..60..[1.1%]..80..[0.5%]..100

6. Indicate your level of agreement regarding where new housing development should be located in Sawyer County.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
Within or adjacent to villages and cities	43.9%	10.4%	8.0%	16.0%	8.6%
On individual residential lots scattered throughout the county	47.9%	44.2%	23.8%	40.9%	41.9%
Anywhere there is a suitable site for development	2.0%	25.2%	35.4%	23.3%	25.2%
Away from active farm operations	1.2%	12.1%	25.3%	4.0%	10.3%
Near active farm operations as long as residential development does not interfere with farming operations	5.0%	8.1%	7.4%	15.9%	14.1%

7. Consider this: Residential subdivisions can take a 40 acre tract and create twenty 2-acre home sites for residential development. Another form of development is residential cluster development or conservation subdivision where a 40 acre tract would have twenty home sites clustered together on 15 acres with the remaining 25 acres in open space for access by the property owners in the cluster/conservation subdivision.

Would you prefer seeing more residential cluster development or conservation subdivisions developed as described above?

41.1% Yes      25.3% No      33.6% Not sure

### State Comprehensive Planning Grant Program Planning Goals

1. Of the following State Comprehensive Planning Goals, please check the 5 goals that are most important to you. The goals will help address specific sections of the comprehensive plan and provide guidance to the Sawyer County Comprehensive Plan Development Committee.

Goal	
1. Promote the redevelopment of lands with existing infrastructure (utilities, roads) and public services and the maintenance and rehabilitation of existing residential, commercial and industrial structures.	40.4%
2. Encourage neighborhood designs that support a range of transportation choices.	9.9%
3. Protect natural areas, including wetlands, wildlife habitats, lakes, woodland, open spaces and groundwater resources	83.2%
4. Protection of economically productive areas, including farmland and forests.	56.7%
5. Encourage land uses, densities (the number of people or buildings that exist in relationship to the surrounding area) and regulations that promote efficient development patterns and relatively low municipal, state government and utility costs.	35.9%
6. Preserve cultural, historical and archaeological sites.	32.0%
7. Encourage coordination and cooperation among nearby units of government.	31.5%
8. Build community identity by revitalizing main streets and enforcing design standards.	17.2%
9. Provide an adequate supply of affordable housing for individuals of all income levels throughout each community.	23.0%
10. Provide adequate infrastructure and public services and an adequate supply of developable land to meet existing and future market demand for residential, commercial and industrial uses.	22.9%
11. Promote the expansion or stabilization of the current economic base and the creation of a range of employment opportunities at the state, regional and local levels.	29.8%
12. Balance individual property rights with community interests and goals.	40.7%
13. Engage in planning and development of land uses that create or preserve varied and unique urban and rural communities.	26.3%
14. Provide an integrated, efficient and economical transportation system that affords mobility, convenience and safety and that meets the needs of all citizens, including transit-dependant and disabled citizens.	26.7%

2. If you were telling a friend about what you value most about spending time in your community, what would you tell them?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

3. If you were communicating to a town, village, city or county official about the three primary issues facing Sawyer County in the future, what issues would you identify?

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2. \_\_\_\_\_

3. \_\_\_\_\_

### Demographics

1. What is your gender?

69.6% Male  
30.4% Female

2. What is your age range (Circle One)?

18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75 and over
0.6%	2.3%	8.1%	22.6%	32.8%	22.9%	10.6%

**3. Approximately how many total acres of land do you own throughout Sawyer County (Check One)?**

0-5	6-10	11-20	21-40	41-60	61-100	>100
59.6%	10.9%	5.9%	8.6%	4.1%	5.5%	5.5%

**4. Is your Sawyer County primary or seasonal residence or undeveloped land on (check one):**

- 52.0% Lakeshore
- 6.8% Riverfront
- 29.8% Woodland
- 4.2% Agricultural
- 7.3% Other

**5. Is your primary residence located in Sawyer County (Wisconsin residency)?**

- 47.4% Yes - If yes, answer only question 6 below.
- 52.6% No - If no, answer only question 7 below.

**6. If you answered yes to question 5, do you:**

- 98.2% Own the home you live in?
- 1.8% Rent the home you live in?

**a. In what community do you live?**

- 8.0% City of Hayward
- 0.7% Village of Couderay
- 1.3% Village of Exeland
- 1.9% Village of Radisson
- 1.1% Village of Winter
- 7.6% Town of Winter
- 0.9% Town of Couderay
- 2.7% Town of Draper
- 4.8% Town of Edgewater
- 16.5% Town of Hayward
- 11.6% Town of Bass Lake
- 9.1% Town of Lenroot
- 0.7% Town of Meadowbrook
- 1.2% Town of Meteor
- 1.9% Town of Ojibwa
- 4.3% Town of Hunter
- 11.6% Town of Round Lake
- 5.8% Town of Sand Lake
- 4.8% Town of Spider Lake
- 1.6% Town of Weirgor
- 1.9% Town of Radisson

**b. Is your primary residence located within the Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation boundary?**

- 7.2% Yes
- 92.8% No

**7. If you are a non-resident of Sawyer County, own a seasonal home/cabin or undeveloped land, which community is it located in? If you have multiple seasonal homes or undeveloped land, please select the community which you visited/stayed for the longest period in 2007.**

- 2.8% City of Hayward
- 0.5% Village of Couderay
- 0.7% Village of Exeland
- 1.2% Village of Radisson
- 2.6% Village of Winter
- 12.9% Town of Winter
- 1.7% Town of Couderay
- 4.5% Town of Draper
- 6.4% Town of Edgewater
- 6.1% Town of Hayward
- 11.9% Town of Bass Lake
- 6.6% Town of Lenroot
- 1.0% Town of Meadowbrook
- 0.7% Town of Meteor
- 3.9% Town of Ojibwa
- 4.9% Town of Hunter
- 12.3% Town of Round Lake
- 8.3% Town of Sand Lake
- 8.5% Town of Spider Lake
- 1.1% Town of Weirgor
- 1.4% Town of Radisson

**a. Is your seasonal home/cabin or undeveloped land located within the Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation boundary?**

- 10.4% Yes
- 89.6% No